EXHIBIT F

[Page 1]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CASE NO. 3:13-cv-02274-MMC

-----x

MONITA SHARMA and ERIC

ANDERSON, on behalf of
themselves and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC a
Delaware Limited Liability
Company,

Defendants.

__X

August 6, 2015

10:33 a.m. CONFIDENTIAL

TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY OF CHABHINATH

RAMKISSOON as taken by and before LINDA M. SCHAAL, a

Certified Court Reporter, and Notary Public of the

State of New Jersey, at the offices of BRESSLER, &

ROSS, P.C., 325 Columbia Turnpike, Florham Park, New

Jersey, on Thursday, August 6, 2015.

| | | | [Page | 2] |
|----|-----|---|-------|----|
| 1 | APP | EARANCES: | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT L. STARR | | |
| | | Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the Class | | |
| 4 | | 23277 Ventura Boulevard | | |
| | | Woodland Hills, California 91364 | | |
| 5 | | BY: ROBERT L. STARR, ESQ. | | |
| | | robert@starrlawmail.com | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | WEXLER WALLACE, LLP | | |
| | | Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the Class | | |
| 8 | | BY: AMY E. KELLER, ESQ. | | |
| | | 55 West Monroe Street | | |
| 9 | | Suite 3300 | | |
| | | Chicago, Illinois 60603 | | |
| 10 | | aek@wexlerwallace.com | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| | | CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH, LLP | | |
| 12 | | BY: AENGUS H. CARR, ESQ. | | |
| | | 44 Montgomery Street | | |
| 13 | | Suite 400 | | |
| | | San Francisco, California 94104-4606 | | |
| 14 | | acarr@cbmlaw.com | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

[Page 13]

- 1 them and provide recommendations or make any type of
- 2 decisions with regard to what should happen relating
- 3 to their concerns?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Who would make those decisions?
- 6 A. Those were presented to the Technical
- 7 Services Group or whoever the issue may -- the group
- 8 -- I mean the complaint, the specific area.
- 9 Q. Would those be handled only through
- 10 the Technical Services Group, the complaints?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. There was nobody else who would
- 13 handle the evaluation of the concerns?
- 14 A. Well, the region as well, the market
- 15 team of the region.
- 16 Q. But as far as the technical analysis
- 17 of the consumer complaints, was the market team
- involved in that or was it primarily the Technical
- 19 Services Group?
- 20 A. Primarily the Technical Services
- 21 Group.
- 22 Q. What was the market teams interaction
- 23 with that, if you know?
- A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. What did you do prior to being

```
[Page 14]
1
    customer relations representative?
2
          A.
                   I worked for a short time as a PuMA
    specialist.
3
4
          Q.
                   What were your job duties as a PuMA
5
    specialist?
6
          A.
                   To review PuMA cases as they were
7
    submitted by the dealership.
8
          Q.
                   Can you define what a PuMA specialist
    is? I know it's a German acronym. Do you know what
10
    it stands for?
11
          A.
                   No.
12
                   Nobody does.
          Q.
13
          A.
                   I don't know. I remember someone
14
    telling me once but it was immediately deleted from
15
    my brain.
16
          Q.
                   What is your understanding of what a
    PuMA specialist is, though?
17
18
          A.
                   My understanding of a PuMA specialist
19
    is someone that reviews the PuMA cases to read the
20
    information that's presented by the technician in
21
    the case and they also look at the FASTA data. They
22
    will provide feedback to the technician on how to go
23
    about resolving the customer issue.
24
                   Could you explain for us exactly what
          Q.
25
    causes a customer concern to become a PuMA case?
```

[Page 15] 1 A. It's possibly --Let me ask you this way; this might Q. be easier. 3 4 What is the anatomy of a PuMA case? Do you understand what I mean by that? How does a 5 6 PuMA case get created? 7 **A**. A PuMA case is submitted when the dealer is looking for assistance in resolving the issue that the customer has with the vehicle. 10 Are there certain things that Q. 11 automatically become PuMA cases like high value part 12 replacements, things like that? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Is there any criteria by which a dealership is required to open a PuMA case that 15 16 you're aware of? I would say if we are looking for 17 feedback on a particular issue that the customer has 18 19 to try to gather data for investigation. 20 What do you mean by that? Q. 21 Α. Just a matter of collecting data so 22 it can be submitted in a PuMA report for further investigation. 23 Are dealerships told look, if a car 24 Q. 25 comes in with this type of problem, you

[Page 19]

- 1 question in a more broad fashion and go beyond the
- 2 PuMA specialist. During anytime while you've been
- 3 working for BMW have you ever had any communication
- 4 with anybody at BMW AG?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. How many times have you had
- 7 communications with BMW AG?
- 8 A. I couldn't say. I don't keep track.
- 9 Q. Do you have any estimate?
- 10 A. Pretty frequent I would say.
- 11 Q. What would be the reasons that you
- 12 would have contact with people at BMW AG?
- MR. CARR: Just generally it's a
- 14 little vague as to time and communications.
- Q. At any time regarding any
- 16 communications?
- 17 A. It's now as a senior product engineer
- 18 relating to if I were to submit a PuMA report to AG.
- 19 Q. Now in your capacity as a senior
- 20 product engineer you could submit PuMA cases to BMW
- 21 AG?
- 22 A. PuMA reports, yes.
- Q. I'm sorry.
- Why would you submit a PuMA report to
- BMW AG?

| | | [Page 20] | |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | A .) | For further analysis if I were to | |
| 2 | think there i | s a growing trend of a particular | |
| 3 | failure in a | vehicle. | |
| 4 | Q. | So in those instances would you be | |
| 5 | seeking techn | ical assistance from BMW AG? | |
| 6 | A . | I would say yes. | |
| 7 | Q. | Why would you seek it from them? | |
| 8 | A . | They are the engineers and the | |
| 9 | developers so | they are the ones that know the | |
| 10 | system. | | |
| 11 | Q. | They are the ones that designed it, | |
| 12 | correct? | | |
| 13 | A . | Correct. | |
| 14 | Q. | How did you know that you could | |
| <u>15</u> | contact them | in order to ask them for assistance? | |
| 16 | A . | That's a procedure, a process of | |
| 17 | technical ser | vice. | |
| 18 | Q. | When you say it's a procedure or | |
| 19 | process, what do you mean by that? | | |
| 20 | A . | It's a function of the job. | |
| 21 | Q. | What do you mean by that? Is there | |
| 22 | basically lik | e a workflow where it's like okay, if | |
| 23 | you have a PuMA case or a PuMA report and you're | | |
| 24 | trying to get | some additional resources, you know, | |
| 25 | have you been | trained as part of being able to seek | |

[Page 21] additional assistance that you're allowed to contact 1 2 BMW AG? 3 Α. Just to clarify, we're talking the PuMA report is submitted to BMW AG at this point is that what you're asking. 5 6 Q. Submitted by you, right? 7 Α. Yes. Let's talk about that workflow. 8 Ο. as a senior product engineer you also create PuMA 10 reports, correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 When you create PuMA reports, are you Q. 13 basically -- what would cause you to create a PuMA 14 report? 15 If I were to receive various numbers **A**. 16 of PuMA cases on the same complaint. 17 Okay. So if you receive numerous Q. 18 PuMA cases, you're seeing a trend, a particular 19 problem that seems to be recurring? 20 **A**. Correct. 21 Q. As a result of that what have you 22 been trained to do or instructed to do if something like that occurs? 23 To submit the PuMA report to BMW AG. 24 **A**. 25 How do you know that's what you're Q.

| | | [Page 22] |
|-----------|---------------|---|
| 1 | supposed to d | o? |
| 2 | A . | That's what I was told. |
| 3 | Q. | That's what you were trained to do, |
| 4 | correct? | |
| 5 | A . | Right. |
| 6 | Q. | Who trained you to do that? |
| 7 | A . | Managers. |
| 8 | Q. | Were there any written instructions |
| 9 | that you revi | ewed which talked to you about creating |
| 10 | PuMA reports | and submitting them to BMW AG? |
| 11 | A . | No. |
| 12 | Q. | It was just training that you |
| 13 | received from | managers, correct? |
| 14 | A . | Yes. |
| 15 | Q. | Did you receive that training from |
| 16 | more than one | manager? |
| 17 | A . | My section manager. |
| 18 | Q. | What is that person's name? |
| 19 | A . | That person's name is Paul Labrie. |
| 20 | Q. | Basically if I'm understanding you |
| 21 | correctly, wh | at you were trained to do is if you get |
| 22 | a bunch of Pu | MA cases on a particular issue, you've |
| 23 | been trained | by this gentleman that you've |
| 24 | identified to | create a PuMA report and submit it to |
| 25 | BMW AG, corre | ct? |

[Page 23] 1 Correct. **A**. 2 Q. Other than submitting the PuMA report 3 to BMW AG are you supposed to do anything else with the PuMA report? 5 Monitor for updates from BMW AG. Α. 6 Q. What process do you use to create a 7 PuMA report? What do you do? 8 Basically we review the PuMA cases Α. and summarize the information that's entered into various PuMA cases on the same issue. 10 Then what do you do once you've done 11 Q. 12 that? 13 Α. That's written in the form of the 14 report that you submit in the PuMA system back to 15 AG. 16 Q. So the PuMA system is a platform that you share with the dealerships, correct? 17 18 **A**. Correct. 19 You also share that platform with BMW Q. 20 AG, correct? 21 MR. CARR: I'm going to object. 22 Share is ambiguous and vague. 23 What I mean by that is they have Q. access to -- is this all done on like an internal 24 25 network?

| | | [Page 24] |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | (A.) | Yes, I would say so. |
| 2 | Q. | What is the name of that network? |
| 3 | (A.) | I know it as BMW network. |
| 4 | Q. | BMW network? |
| <u>(5)</u> | (A.) | Yes. |
| <u>6</u> | Q. | That network is accessible to BMW AG, |
| 7 | correct? | |
| 8 | (A.) | Correct. |
| 9 | 1 | MR. CARR: I'm just going to |
| 10 | interpose here | and remind the witness to answer |
| 11 | factually if yo | u know. If you don't know, don't |
| 12 | guess. | |
| 13 | | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 14 | Q. | When you submit the BMW reports, |
| 15 | explain to me h | ow that happens? What do you do? |
| 16 | You summarize t | he data and then you create the |
| 17 | report and then | you submit it, right? |
| 18 | A . | Correct. |
| 19 | Q. | How do you submit it? Do you email |
| 20 | it to somebody | or you just enter a bunch of |
| 21 | information int | o a database and that automatically |
| 22 | gets sent to BM | W AG? |
| 23 | Α. | It's entered in the PuMA system as a |
| 24 | report. | |
| 25 | Q. | It's entered into the PuMA system as |

[Page 28] out -- I do have a point to it. 1 2 So is it a computer that is a closed 3 system that you're only supposed to do work related tasks on? 5 MR. CARR: Objection; vague and 6 ambiguous. You can answer factually if you know. 7 Α. I'm not sure. You're not sure. 8 Q. 9 MR. CARR: Frankly, the IT framework 10 of BMW NA is not a deposition topic. 11 So you enter the PuMA information in, Q. 12 correct? Correct. 13 **A**. Do you know who receives it? 14 Q. 15 It goes back to a group --**A**. 16 counterpart in Munich. 17 A counterpart in Munich? Q. 18 **A**. Yes. 19 Q. Do you know what that group is 20 called? 21 **A**. It's a designation, BP-41. 22 Q. PP-41? 23 BP-41. **A**. 24 Is it PP or BP? Q. 25 B as in boy, P as in Peter. **A**.

```
[Page 29]
 1
          Q.
                   Tell me one more time just with the
    Peter Boy?
3
          A.
                   BP-41.
4
          Q.
                   So when you enter the PuMA data in it
    goes to BP-41, correct?
5
6
          A.
                   Correct.
                   The people at BP-41, do you know if
7
          Q.
    those people work for BMW AG?
8
          A.
                   I'm not sure.
                   But they are located in Germany,
10
          Q.
11
    correct?
12
          A.
                   Correct.
13
          Q.
                   How do you know it goes to that
14
    group?
15
                   That's the group I interact with.
          A.
16
          Q.
                   Do you have like a phone list for
17
    people you can call over there?
18
                   MR. CARR: Objection; ambiguous.
19
          A.
                   No.
20
                   If you wanted to call somebody at
          Q.
    BP-41, how would you do that?
21
22
          A.
                   I have to look them up in the system.
23
                   How would you know who to look up?
          Q.
24
                   It's based on the person that's
          A.
25
    accepted the report.
```

| | | [Page 30] |
|----|----------------|---|
| 1 | Q. | So you said those are the people |
| 2 | you interact | with. What is the scope of the |
| 3 | interaction y | ou have with those people? |
| 4 | A . | Just communication on the topic the |
| 5 | report is wri | tten on. |
| 6 | Q. | But do you do that only in email |
| 7 | format or ele | ctronically or do you ever talk to them |
| 8 | on the phone? | |
| 9 | A . | Via the PuMA report? |
| 10 | Q. | So other than the PuMA report, is |
| 11 | there any oth | er way to interact with them? |
| 12 | A . | Email. |
| 13 | Q. | Other than the PuMA report and email, |
| 14 | is there any | other way to interact with them? |
| 15 | A . | It's rare, but by telephone. |
| 16 | Q. | How many people at BP-41 have you |
| 17 | emailed in the | e past? |
| 18 | A. | I don't know. |
| 19 | Q. | Do you have any estimate? |
| 20 | A. | Probably three guys, personnel. |
| 21 | Q. | Do you recall their names? |
| 22 | A. | No, I don't know their names. |
| 23 | Q. | Do you recall any of their names? |
| 24 | | MR. CARR: Objection; asked and |
| 25 | answered. | |

[Page 31] 1 No, I can't. Α. 2 Q. Do you recall any of their first 3 names? 4 MR. CARR: Objection; asked and 5 answered. 6 Α. No. 7 Are their email addresses the same --Q. what's your email address? 8 9 Α. Lul.Ramkissoon@BMWNA.com. Do you recall if their email 10 Q. 11 addresses are whatever their names are @BMWNA.com or 12 is it dot AG or something else? 13 Α. Something else. It's not AG. Is it BMW something? 14 Q. 15 Yes. Α. 16 Q. So it's BMW something, but it's not 17 AG? 18 Α. Correct. 19 Is it BMW NA? Q. 20 A. No. 21 Q. So other than the people at BP-41, do you deal with anybody else outside of BMW NA with 23 regard to the PuMA reports you do? 24 No. Α. 25 Q. When you submit the PuMA reports,

```
[Page 32]
 1
    what do you typically get back in response?
 2
          A.
                   The status update, the progression of
    how the investigation is going.
 3
 4
          Q.
                   Anything else?
                   Sometimes a request for the
 5
          A.
 6
    information from the dealers.
7
          Q.
                   Anything else?
                   That's it.
 8
          A.
                   Do they ever give you an answer like
          Q.
    okay, thanks for the PuMA report, we figured out how
10
11
    to fix the problem, this is what you're supposed to
12
    do?
13
                   MR. CARR: Objection; asked and
14
    answered.
15
          A.
                   In some cases.
16
                   In cases where they don't provide you
          Q.
    with a solution, what do they do?
17
18
                   MR. CARR: Objection; ambiguous. You
    can answer, if you know factually.
19
20
                   They may give us additional steps to
          A.
21
    try to fix the car.
22
          Q.
                   That would be a solution, wouldn't
23
    it? Let me ask it to you this way. In some cases
24
    they provide you with a recommendation. Let's not
25
    call it a solution. You provide them with a
```

[Page 33]

- 1 problem, we're experiencing this problem, it has
- been happening frequently and then in some cases in
- response they give you a recommendation, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Are there any instances that you're
- 6 aware of where they don't give you a recommendation?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do they say anything to you other
- 9 than not giving you a recommendation?
- MR. CARR: Objection; ambiguous.
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Sometimes you're just giving them
- information like hey, we're seeing a number of these
- 14 vehicles are failing in this particular way and so
- 15 you're just giving them data, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. They are not really going to give you
- 18 anything in response, they're just gathering that
- 19 data, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you know why you're supposed to
- 22 provide them with that data?
- MR. CARR: Objection; asked and
- 24 answered. Calls for speculation.
- 25 A. I'm not sure.

[Page 35] 1 as to, you know, what to tell customers if a certain 2 problem happens? Can you think of anything like 3 that? Α. No. 5 What types of recommendations do they Q. 6 give you? 7 For example, in the Sharma case to Α. check the seals in the car, in the sunroof, to check 8 the sunroof drains. 10 So they provide you technical assistance, correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. In your interaction with BP-41, has 14 anybody ever told you there are certain things you 15 can't ask them? 16 MR. CARR: Objection; vague and ambiguous. 17 18 **A**. Not that I know of. So basically if you have numerous 19 Q. 20 PuMA cases that are coming in and then you submit a 21 PuMA report in order to seek the assistance from 22 BP-41, to your knowledge there is no restraint on 23 the degree of questions you can ask them or information you can provide them, correct? 24 25 MR. CARR: Objection; calls for

[Page 36] speculation. You can answer factually, if you know. 1 2 **A**. I don't know. No restrictions you're aware of, 3 Q. correct? 5 MR. CARR: The same objection. 6 A. Yeah, not that I'm aware of. 7 It sounds like BP-41 has a pretty Q. extensive degree of technical knowledge regarding 8 the vehicles, correct? MR. CARR: Calls for speculation. 10 11 That I don't know. Α. 12 Well, they provide you with technical Q. 13 information, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 What is your understanding of the Q. 16 source of that information? 17 MR. CARR: Objection; calls for speculation. 18 19 I don't question it. Α. 20 Q. And they are the ones that designed 21 the car, correct? 22 MR. CARR: Objection; calls for 23 speculation. That I'm not sure of either. 24 Α. 25 Well, do you know where they get Q.

[Page 53] vehicles, with regard to cases you were working on, 1 2 PuMA cases or anything like that? 3 Α. No. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off 5 the record. The time is 11:34 a.m. This is the end 6 of tape 1. 7 (Whereupon, a recess was taken 11:34-11:39 a.m.) 8 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 11:49 a.m. This is the start 10 11 of tape 2. 12 BY MR. STARR: 13 Have you ever worked on a PuMA report Q. 14 that has become an SIB? 15 Α. No. 16 Have you ever worked on a PuMA report that has become a recall? I guess that's the same 17 18 thing. 19 Α. No. 20 Have you ever received any 21 information from BP-41 regarding something becoming 22 an SIB? 23 MR. CARR: Objection to form; vague 24 and ambiguous. 25 **A**. A PuMA measure?

| | | F 41 |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| 1 | 0 | [Page 54] Right. What is a PuMA measure? |
| | Q. | |
| 2 | A . | It's more or less information on the |
| 3 | vehicle and a | specific complaint or issue, which may |
| 4 | be seen in the | market. It's very similar to a PuMA |
| (5) | case. | |
| 6 | Q. | Have you ever interacted with BP-41 |
| 7 | regarding a Pu | MA measure? |
| 8 | A . | Yes. |
| 9 | Q. | How? |
| 10 | A . | Via email. |
| 11 | Q. | In those instances was that something |
| 12 | where you orig | inated that contact or something where |
| 13 | BP-41 originat | ed that contact? |
| 14 | A . | They sent a PuMA measure. |
| (15) | Q. | So BP-41 sent a PuMA measure to you? |
| 16 | A . | No, not directly to me. |
| 17 | Q. | Who did they send it to? |
| 18 | A . | They didn't send it to anyone. |
| 19 | Q. | What was their involvement in the |
| 20 | PuMA measure? | |
| 21 | A . | They created it, wrote it into the |
| 22 | PuMA system. | |
| 23 | Q. | So BP-41 created the PuMA measure and |
| 24 | put it into th | e PuMA system? |
| 25 | A . | Yes. |

| | _ | [Page 55] |
|-----------|---------------|---|
| 1 | Q. | And how did you become aware of it? |
| 2 | A . | By a report that's run. |
| 3 | Q . | Who runs that report? |
| 4 | A . | The quality and analysis reporting |
| (5) | team. | |
| 6 | Q. | And how would you come in contact |
| 7 | with that rep | ort? |
| 8 | A. | It's emailed. An email is sent out |
| 9 | notifying of | updates to the report. |
| 10 | Q. | The quality analysis reporting team, |
| 11 | where are the | y located at? |
| 12 | (A.) | BMW North America. |
| 13 | Q. | So you received a report that was |
| 14 | emailed to yo | u by the quality analysis reporting |
| <u>15</u> | team that con | tained a PuMA measure created by BP-41? |
| 16 | A . | Correct. |
| 17 | Q. | When you say a PuMA measure, how does |
| 18 | a PuMA measur | e differ what is a PuMA measure? |
| 19 | A . | A PuMA measure is very similar to the |
| 20 | PuMA case whe | re it contains the affected vehicle, |
| 21 | the cause and | the solution. |
| 22 | Q. | So you're talking about with |
| 23 | regard to a P | uMA case, a PuMA case is started by a |
| 24 | dealership, c | orrect? |
| 25 | A. | Correct. |

| | | [Page 56] |
|----|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Q. | Then there is a response to the PuMA |
| 2 | case where the | ere is a solution, correct? |
| 3 | A . | Yes. |
| 4 | Q. | So what you're talking about with |
| 5 | regard to the | PuMA measure is that it's a solution |
| 6 | that is provi | ded by somebody, correct? |
| 7 | A .) | Yes. |
| 8 | Q. | In this instance you've seen PuMA |
| 9 | measures that | were created by BP-41? |
| 10 | A . | Yes. |
| 11 | Q. | Do you know why those PuMA measures |
| 12 | were circulate | ed throughout BMW NA? |
| 13 | | MR. CARR: Objection to form; calls |
| 14 | for speculation | on. You can answer if you know. |
| 15 | Q. | You received an email regarding the |
| 16 | PuMA measure, | correct? |
| 17 | A . | Yes. |
| 18 | Q. | Do you know why that email was sent |
| 19 | out? | |
| 20 | Α. | Because an update to the measure was |
| 21 | completed, pe | rformed. |
| 22 | Q. | Do you know if PuMA measures are sent |
| 23 | to the dealer | ships? |
| 24 | A. | No. |
| 25 | Q. | Do you know if the dealerships become |

| | | [Page 64] | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Q. | Right. | |
| 2 | Α. | Yes. | |
| 3 | Q. | What familiarity do you have with | |
| 4 | regard to thos | e complaints? | |
| 5 | Α. | Checking out the sunroof frames for | |
| 6 | clogs, maybe t | orn or broken seal. | |
| 7 | Q. | How did you become aware of those | |
| 8 | complaints? | | |
| 9 | А. | PuMA case. | |
| 10 | Q. | Other than a PuMA case, did you have | |
| 11 | any other familiarity with those complaints? | | |
| 12 | А. | No. | |
| 13 | Q. | What is the extent of your | |
| 14 | familiarity wi | th regard to the PuMA case or cases | |
| 15 | relating to th | ose complaints? | |
| 16 | A . | The complaint is water in the vehicle | |
| 17 | in the trunk a | rea. | |
| 18 | Q. | How is it you became familiar with | |
| 19 | the PuMA case | or cases regarding water in the trunk | |
| 20 | area? | | |
| 21 | A . | Forwarded or escalated to me by the | |
| 22 | PuMA hotline o | r the field personnel, the technical | |
| 23 | service engine | er. | |
| 24 | Q. | How many PuMA cases have you been | |
| 25 | involved in wi | th the complaint of water in the trunk | |

```
[Page 109]
 1
                    MR. CARR: Objection; vague and
 2
    ambiguous as to what BMW means.
 3
          Α.
                    No.
          Q.
                    So before a BMW NA issues an SIB, is
 5
    it your understanding they don't do any
 6
     investigation?
 7
          Α.
                    It's probably rare that they do an
 8
    investigation.
          Q.
                   Do you know what causes BMW to issue
10
    an SIB?
11
          A.
                    Again, as I said earlier the PuMA
12
    measures come from BMW AG.
13
          Q.
                    I'm sorry?
14
          A.
                   The PuMA measures that are released
15
    by BMW AG.
16
          Q.
                   So PuMA measures are issued by BMW
    AG. Are SIBs issued as a result of those PuMA
17
18
    measures?
19
          A.
                   Not in all cases, but yes.
20
                    Do you know if BMW AG does any
          Q.
21
    investigations prior to issuing PuMA measures?
22
                    MR. CARR: Objection; calls for
23
    speculation.
                   I don't know what BMW AG does.
24
          A.
25
          Q.
                    Are there any instances you're aware
```

[Page 110]

- of where SIBs are issued, but they are not issued as
- a result of PuMA measures that are issued by BMW AG?
- Rare occasions, yes.
- Q. What happens on those occasions, if
- 5 you know?
- 6 A. Specific to this case?
- 7 Q. No, just generally.
- 8 A. If it's a trend, a growing trend as
- 9 we discussed earlier, in some cases we will release
- 10 an SIB to assist the dealers to inform every one,
- 11 all the dealer networks.
- 12 Q. So if there is a growing trend, does
- 13 BMW NA do any kind of investigation into that
- 14 growing trend prior to issuing an SIB?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Do they do any type of analysis prior
- 17 to issuing an SIB?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What kind of investigation do they do
- 20 prior to issuing an SIB if there is a growing trend,
- 21 if you know?
- MR. CARR: Object to form.
- 23 A. It will be just more or less the
- 24 diagnosis comparing the data from one vehicle, if
- 25 it's the same type class vehicle, comparing the